



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/035,346	•	12/28/2001	John de Q. Walker	01-152	01-152 1045	
24319	7590	06/10/2004		EXAMINER		
		ORATION	NADAV, ORI			
	RBER LAN )6 LEGAL	E		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
MILPITA	S, CA 95	035		2811		
				DATE MAILED: 06/10/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/035,346	WALKER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ori nadav	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cov r sheet with the c	correspond nce add	r ss
THE REPLY FILED 21 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	void abandonment of this application (i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1.3 and 5-7.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-17.			
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:	6	L M	
		ODI MADAY	

ORI NADAV PATENT EXAMINER

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the doped regions in Kasahara and Takemura do not have dopant profiles that continuously increase with increasing depth of the doped region, because the dopant profiles in Kasahara and in Takemura increase for a short depth and then decrease with depth.

Although the doped regions in Kasahara and Takemura increase for a short depth and then decrease with depth, the doped regions in Kasahara and Takemura do have dopant profiles that continuously increase with increasing depth of the doped region, starting from the diode junction and continuing to a peak concentration region. The broad recitation of the claim does not require the dopant profiles to increase throughout the entire doped region.

Applicant argues that the capacitance/voltage response characteristics of the Kasahara and Takemura, shown in figures 4 and 1(B) respectively, are a result of the dopant profiles of the entire doped region adjacent to the PN junctions which increase and decrease in concentration with depth from the PN junction, not from a continuously increasing nonuniform dopant concentration profile, as required by amended claim 1

The part of the doped region wherein the dopant concentration profile continuously increases also causes the varactor to have an approximately linear capacitance/voltage response. Therefore, Kasahara and Takemura teach that the

continuously increasing nonuniform dopant concentration profile causes the varactor to have an approximately linear capacitance/voltage response, as claimed.

And the second

Applicant argues that Takemura does not teach the claimed limitations, because Takemura discloses in figure 4(A) and in the related text, a dopant concentration profile that increases in steps with increased depth.

The claimed invention was rejected with respect to the embodiment of figure 1A of Takemura and not with respect to the embodiment of figure 4(A).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Group 2811 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Examiner Nadav* whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1660**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM

Application/Control Number: 10/035,346

Art Unit: 2811

Page 4

(Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Technology Center**Receptionists whose telephone number is 308-0956.

O.N. 6/4/04 ORI NADAV
PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800